

PENSION TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENT DIRECTORATE (PTAD)

2017 ANNUAL REPORT ON FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

OUTPUT & RESPONSE RATE No of No of Average No.			NUMBER & NATURE OF DETERMINATIONS MADE WHICH RESULTED IN A REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION & THE REASONS FOR SUCH DETERMINATIONS		NUMBER OF APPEALS MADE BY PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL.		CASES WHERE THE COURT UPHELD THE DECISION TO WITHHOLD INFORMATION BY THE PUBLIC INSTITUTION; (SUMMARISE SCOPE OF INFORMATION WITHHELD)		EFFICIENCY/RESOURCE COMMITMENT	
	_					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		No. of Full Time Staff	
	of Days to	determinati		Appeals.	Leading To Appeal			•	Devoted to	
pplications	process	ons	Determination			(If any)		U	Implementation of the	
	Applications						,		Act.	
4	5	1	In line with Section 15 (1) (c) of the Freedom of Information Act, 2011 the request for information would have been a breach of confidentiality of information of our clients(Pensioners	1	PTAD denied the request for its 2017 Procurement plan that had not been approved nor appropriated. Access to the Plan would possibly give an unfair advantage in bid proposals if it got into the wrong hands	N/A	N/A	NIL	2	
וי	No of rocessed	No of Average No. rocessed of Days to plications process Applications	DETERMINA' RESULTED DISCLOSE IN REASO DETE No of Average No. rocessed of Days to plications process Applications OPERMINA' RESULTED DOISO REASO DETE No. of determinati ons	DETERMINATIONS MADE WHICH RESULTED IN A REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION & THE REASONS FOR SUCH DETERMINATIONS No of Of Days to process Applications 4 5 1 In line with Section 15 (1) (c) of the Freedom of Information Act, 2011 the request for information would have been a breach of confidentiality of information of our	DETERMINATIONS MADE WHICH RESULTED IN A REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION & THE REASONS FOR SUCH DETERMINATIONS No of of Days to process Applications 4 5 1 In line with Section 15 (1) (c) of the Freedom of Information Act, 2011 the request for information would have been a breach of confidentiality of information of our	DETERMINATIONS MADE WHICH RESULTED IN A REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION & THE REASONS FOR SUCH DETERMINATIONS No of of Days to process Applications 4 5 1 In line with Section Information Act, 2011 the request for information would have been a breach of confidentiality of information of our clients(Pensioners DETERMINATIONS No. of determination No. of Average No. of Days to determination No. of Average No. of Days to determination No. of Appeals. PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. PEASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. Appeals. 1 PTAD denied the request for its 2017 Procurement plan that had not been approved nor approved nor appropriated. Access to the Plan would possibly give an unfair advantage in bid proposals if it got into the wrong	DETERMINATIONS MADE WHICH RESULTED IN A REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION & THE REASON FOR SUCH DETERMINATIONS No of of Days to process Applications 4 5 1 In line with Section 15 (1) (c) of the Freedom of Information Act, 2011 the request for information would have been a breach of confidentiality of information of our clients (Pensioners) DETERMINATIONS No. of Beason For Action Attention (Summarized Nature Appeals) No. of Average No. of Days to glications No. of Average No. of Days to process Applications No. of Appeals. PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. No. of Average No. of Determination Appeals. No. of Appeals. PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. Names of Party/Indicate Case No. (If any) Names of Party/Indicate Case No. (If any) No. of Appeals. PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. Names of Party/Indicate Case No. (If any) No. of Appeals. PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. UPHELD THE DEVICE WITHOUT THE APPEAL. VICENTIAL THE PUBLIC INS (SUMMARISE SINFORMATION VICENTIAL THE PUBLIC INS (SUMMARISE SINFORMAT	DETERMINATIONS MADE WHICH RESULTED IN A REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION & THE REASONS FOR SUCH DETERMINATIONS No of rocessed of Days to plications Applications Applications 1 In line with Section 15 (1) (c) of the Freedom of Information Act, 2011 the request for information would have been a breach of confidentiality of information of our clients (Pensioners) Access to the Plan would possibly give an unfair advantage in bid proposals if it got into the wrong	DETERMINATIONS MADE WHICH RESULTED IN A REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION & THE REASON FOR THE ACT; STATE THAT LED TO THE APPEAL. No of Of Days to process Applications 4	