



PENSION TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENT DIRECTORATE (PTAD)

2019 ANNUAL REPORT ON FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

OUTPUT & RESPONSE RATE			NUMBER & NATURE OF DETERMINATIONS MADE WHICH RESULTED IN A REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION & THE REASONS FOR SUCH DETERMINATIONS		NUMBER OF APPEALS MADE BY PERSONS UNDER THE ACT; STATE THE REASON FOR THE ACTION THAT LED TO THE APPEAL.		CASES WHERE THE COURT UPHELD THE DECISION TO WITHHOLD INFORMATION BY THE PUBLIC INSTITUTION; (SUMMARISE SCOPE OF INFORMATION WITHHELD)		EFFICIENCY/RESOURCE COMMITMENT	
No of Applications Received	No of Processed Applications	Average No. of Days to process Applications	No. of determinations	Summarized Nature & Reason for Determination	No. of Appeals.	Reason For Action Leading To Appeal	Names of Party/ Indicate Case No. (If any)	Scope of Withheld Information in Summary	Amount Expended on the Processing of Application	No. of Full Time Staff Devoted to Implementation of the Act.
5	3	5	2	1. Two organisations – <i>Basic Rights Watch</i> and <i>Connected Development</i> did not provide evidence of their registration with CAC i.e Their RC number was not provided on their letter heads. PTAD wrote to both organisations within the statutory seven (7) day window for them to provide evidence of their legal registration with the Corporate	Nil	N/A	NIL	NIL	NIL	3



Pension Transitional Arrangement Directorate

				<p>Affairs Commission. Both Organisations did not respond.</p> <p>2. In line with section 15(1)(b) the FOIA, the request for 2018 procurement plan could not be obliged because the 2018 budget was at its early stages of implementation and the release of the information would possibly interfere, compromise and /or frustrate the procurement processes or give undue advantage to a 3rd party.</p>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--